P.R. No. 3864/06
Presented by Shri J.K. Gupta
dated 22/7/06
SINGLE BENCH (1)
C.F. 1001 (5)

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 3806 Of 2006.

PETITIONER :- Hetram Karsh, son of Tirithram Karsh, aged about 36 years, resident of Village Bilaspur Sarsiva, Block Bilaigarh, Distt. Raipur (Chhattisgarh),

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS :-
1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, Health and Family Welfare Department, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh),
2. Chief Medical and Health Officer, Raipur (Chhattisgarh),
3. Block Medical Officer, Primary Health Center, Bilaigarh, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh).

RECEIVED-COPY
22/7/06
CLERK TO A. G. BILASPUR

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

DETAILS OF PETITION :-

XI-HC-78

(35)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WPRO...3806. **सन् 2006**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	4.8.2006:-	

Shri H.B. Agrawal, Sr. Advocate with Miss Sangeeta Mishra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Sumesh Bajaj, Government Advocate for the State/ respondents, on advance copy.

Heard both the counsel.

By the instant petition, the petitioner has challenged his transfer order dated 7-7-2006 whereby he has been transferred from Sub Health Centre, Bilaspur (Bilaigarh) to Community Health Centre, Kasdol on administrative ground.

The main grievance raised by the petitioner in this writ petition is that he has been subjected to frequent transfers. In the year 2000, he was transferred from Raikona to Bhatgaon and again the petitioner was transferred from Bhatgaon to Pendravan and same was complied by the petitioner. On 10-3-2003, the petitioner was transferred from Pendravan to Bilaspur (Bilaigarh) and now, he has been transferred vide impugned order to Kasdol.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having perused the record, the last transfer of the petitioner was made on 10th March 2003 and after about 3 years and 4 months this transfer has been effected, therefore, the said transfer does not come under the category of frequent transfers.

P.T.O.

XI-HC-78

(36)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is a low paid employee and he has been transferred without any complaint, therefore, the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.2 and he be directed to decide the same, to which learned counsel for respondents has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with a copy of this petition to respondent No.2 within a period of 10 days from today and in turn, respondent No.2 is directed to decide representation of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of representation, after considering all the points raised by the petitioner, in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.2966/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C.Bhadoo Judge	
Barve		

P.T.O.

7-8-9